THE PREDICTABILITY OF DENTAL IMPLANT THERAPY IN DIABETES TYPE-II PATIENTS

Rotem Kaplavi D.M.D. (Private clinic), Israel.

Background

The use of dental implants in patients with type II diabetes is a questionable issue due to the adverse effect of hyperglycemia on osseointegration.

There is lack of evidence about the long term outcome of implant therapy in patients with diabetes, in comparing to patients without diabetes.



Results

61 implants were placed in the mandible, and 102 implants were placed in the maxilla without prior augmentation.

3 implants failed to osseointegrate in the mandible and 6 implants failed to osseointegrate in the maxilla.

The CSR in the mandible was 95.08 %, and in the maxilla was 94.12 %. From the 8 implants placed after sinus lift surgery, none of them failed.

The 163 implants which placed in native (non augmented bone), were divided also to 2 groups:

In patients with HgA1c < 7, 1 implant from the 73 implants placed failed to osseointegrate, resulting in CSR=98,63%. In the second group with HgA1c > 7, 8 implants from the 90 placed failed to osseointegrate, resulting in CSR=91.11%.

urviva	rate	of	implants	s accor	ding	to	the	type	C
impla	ntatio	-	in nativa	(not	01100	n	tad	hon	~

inplantation in	name (n	or augmen	
Type of implantation	No. of implants	Implants failed	Cumulative survival rate %
2 stage protocol	84	6	92.86 %
Immediate loading	79	3	96.20%
Total	163	9	94.48 %
Immediate implantation	56	3	94.64 %
Immediate implantation	38	2	94.74 %

Survival of implants in general population. Not diabetic patients

Type of implantation	No. of implants	Implants failed	Cumulative survival rate %	
2 stage protocol	879	18	97.95 %	
Immediate loading	699	18	97.42 %	
Total	1578	36	97.72 %	
Immediate implantation	596	12	97.99 %	
Immediate implantation	289	7	97.58 %	

Aim of the study

To evaluate whether diabetic type II patients, are at greater risk of implant therapy and bone grafting failure and complications in comparing with non diabetic patients.

To evaluate whether the glycemic control, has influence on the success rate of dental implant therapy in this group diabetic type II patients.

Patient with uncontrolled glycemic level, (HgA1c = 8.6) with immediate implantation and immediate loading



Before treatment

After 6 months

62 years old female with controlled glycemic level, (HgA1c=6.8)



Survival rate of implants in augmented bone after sinus lift

Patient No.	Age.	HgA1c	Bone augmentation procedure	No. of implants	Implants failed	complications
4	60	8.2 %	Bi-lateral open window Sinus Lift with Bio-Oss mixed w/Autogenous bone (90% / 10%)	7	None	None
		Implants placed 9 months later	Implants placed 9 months later	Follow up period after implant Placement – 35 months		
18	59	6.9%	Uni-lateral open window Sinus lift with Bio-oss mixed w/Autogenous bone (90% / 10%)	1	None	None
			with simultaneous implant placement	Follow up period after implant Placement – 17 months		
Total				8	None	

60 years male with uncontrolled glycemic level, (HgA1c = 8.2) Successful sinus lift and rehabilitated implants-35 months after implant placement.



CSR of implants in 2 groups of Diabetic patients with comparing to the Non Diabetic patients.

	Non Diabetic	Well controlled	Uncontrolled
	patients	Diabetic patients	Diabetic patients
CSR %	97.72	98.63	91.11

Conclusions

Dental implants placed in diabetes type 2 patients, can successfully osseointegrated and remain functionally stable.

New approaches and protocols, in dental implant therapy such as immediate loading and immediate implantation, are viable and are with the same predictability in comparing with the non diabetic patients.

There is difference in the predictability of dental implants therapy between well controlled diabetic patients with HgA1c < 7.0 %, to uncontrolled diabetic patients with HgA1c > 7.0 %.

Before treatment

5 years after treatment

Methods and Materials

The study includes 23 patients, who received totally 171 dental implants, which supported totally 2 removable full arch and 35 fixed partial and full arch prostheses .

The age range of the patients was 46-82 years.

All patients did not take BPs therapy. None of them was heavy smoker.

The follow up period, was 11-76 months with the mean follow up of 35 months after the implant surgery.

In 2 patients the implant therapy included sinus lift grafting.

All patients were monitored for HgA1c values.

Sinus augmentation and GBR procedures can success in well controlled diabetic type II patients .

Treatment planning of dental implant therapy in diabetic patients should not differ in comparing with other patients in regard to the type of the prosthesis. Fixed prostheses supported by dental implants is viable treatment in this population and there is no indication to limit the treatment options to removable prostheses supported by dental implants just because the retreatablility of this kind of therapy. It is especially true for the well controlled diabetic patients.

References

- 1. Shernoff AF, Colwell JA, Bingham SF: Implants for type II diabetic patients: Interim report. VA Implants in Diabetes Study Group. Implant Dent 1994;3:183-185.
- 2. Farzad P, Andersson L, Nyberg J: Dental implant treatment in diabetic patients. Implant Dent 2002;11:262-267.
- 3. Tawil G, Younan R, Azar P, Sleilati G: Conventional and advanced implant treatment in the type II diabetic patient: Surgical protocol and long-term clinical results. Int J Oral Maxillofacial Implants 2008;23:744-752.
- 4. Dowell S, Oates TW, Robinson M: Implant success in people with type 2 diabetes mellitus with varying glycemic control: A pilot study. J Am Dent Assoc 2007;138:355-361.
- 5. Hasegawa H, Ozawa S, Hashimoto K, Takeichi T, Ogawa T: Type 2 diabetes impairs implant osseointegration capacity in rats. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2008;23:237-246.
- Alsaadi G, Quirynen M, Komárek A, van Steenberghe D: Impact of local and systemic factors on the incidence of oral implant failures, up to abutment connection. J Clin Periodontol 2007;34:610-617.
- 7. Kotsovilis S, Karoussis IK, Fourmousis I: A comprehensive and critical review of dental implant placement in diabetic animals and patients. Clin Oral Implants Res 2006;17:587-599
- 8. Taylor GW, Burt B, Becker M, Genco RJ, Shlossman M: Glycemic control and alveolar bone loss progression in type 2 diabetes. Ann Periodontol 1998;3:30-39.
- 9. Balshi SF, Wolfinger GJ, Balshi TJ: An examination of immediately loaded dental implant stability in the diabetic patient using resonance frequency analysis (RFA). Quintessence Int 2007;38:271-279.
- 10. Morris HF, Ochi S, Winkler S: Implant survival in patients with type 2 diabetes: Placement to 36 months. Ann Periodontol 2000;5:157-165.
- 11. Javed F, Romanos GE: Impact of diabetes mellitus and glycemic control on the osseointegration of dental implants: a systematic literature review. J Periodontol. 2009 Nov;80(11):1719-30.
- 12. Huynh-Ba G, Friedberg JR, Vogiatzi D, Ioannidou E: Implant failure predictors in the posterior maxilla:a retrospective study of 273 consecutive implants J Periodontol 2008;79:2256-61.

Presented at the 20th Annual Scientific Meeting of the European Association of Osseointegration 13-15 October 2011, Athens, Greece

